|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | <del>- · · · · · · ·</del> |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|
|   | 09/976,038  | DYGERT ET AL.  | DYGERT ET AL               |  |
| N   | Examiner  | Art Unit   | ·                          |  |
|   | Mohammad Ali  | 2167   |                            |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) of NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313   | OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communication. This application is sub-  | is application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course.   |                            |  |
| 1. This communication is responsive to <u>June 08, 2004</u> .   |   |  |                            |  |
| 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-5</u> .   |   |  |                            |  |
| 3. The drawings filed on 15 October 2001 are accepted by the  | Examiner.   |  |                            |  |
| 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have if 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have if 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have if its copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have if its copies in the certified copies of the priority documents have if its copies of the priority documents have if it | been received. been received in Application I uments have been received in function to file a ENT of this application.  ted. Note the attached EXAM is reason(s) why the oath or do be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review ( Amendment / Comment or in EA(c)) should be written on the e header according to 37 CFR attached. | No  In this national stage application from this national stage application from the reply complying with the requirement of the complex of the office action of the office action of the complex | nts<br>OF                  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material   | 6. ☐ Interview Sum<br>Paper No./Ma<br>1), 7. ☐ Examiner's An  | il Date  |                            |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/976,038 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to the Amendment filed on June 08, 2004.

2. After a search and a thorough examination of the present application and in light of the prior art made of records, claims 1-5 are allowed.

## Reason for Allowance

3. The prior art made of records does not teach or fairly suggest the combination of elements, as recited in independent claim 1. More specifically, the prior art of records fails to fairly teach the steps of

"a controller, coupled to said recorded signal output device, said video output, said memory and said communication device, to control said apparatus to play back the recording regardless of whether said communication device is in communication with the remote database, to repeatedly try to establish communication with the remote database, to query the remote database using the indicating data when communication is established and to supply the textual recording name of the recording from the remote database to said memory"

The dependent claims, being definite, further limiting, and fully enabled by the specification and are also allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

7

Art Unit: 2167

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for any communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

October 12, 2004

Mohammad Ali

Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 2177